



**Figure 1:** This device allows for a syringe to be filled, with CO<sub>2</sub>, to assist in epidural space localization

Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) would allow for the same ease of localization as air. This occurs as the bulk modulus of CO<sub>2</sub> is almost identical to that of the air.<sup>[3,4]</sup> It thus has a similar “feel” when used for identification of the epidural space. CO<sub>2</sub> is also readily absorbed across cell membranes and is more rapidly eliminated, from tissues, than air.<sup>[5,6,7]</sup> Furthermore, CO<sub>2</sub> is actively transported, utilizing carbonic anhydrase, from the cerebral spinal fluid.<sup>[8]</sup>

A preliminary device has been developed, which allows for CO<sub>2</sub> to be uncomplicatedly administered, through a three-way stopcock, into a traditional glass syringe. This device is illustrated in the [Figure 1].

Preliminary testing of this technique, on cadaveric bovine spinal sections, has demonstrated that CO<sub>2</sub> may be a reasonable alternative, to both air and saline, for epidural space localization. Further research is necessary to fully assess the potential benefits, and limitations, of this technique.

**RA Junka<sup>1</sup>, L Chan<sup>1</sup>, R Moises<sup>1</sup>, E Panico<sup>1</sup>, V Hazelwood<sup>1</sup>, GM Atlas<sup>1,2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, Stevens Institute of Technology, Hoboken, <sup>2</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark, USA.

**Address for correspondence:** Dr. Glen M Atlas  
Department of Anesthesiology, University of Medicine and Dentistry  
of New Jersey, Newark, NJ 07103, USA  
E-mail: [atlsgm@umdnj.edu](mailto:atlsgm@umdnj.edu)

## Use of loss of resistance, to carbon dioxide, in identifying the epidural space

The use of air, in localizing the epidural space, has been associated with suboptimal or “patchy” anesthesia as well as the rare occurrence of venous and cerebral air emboli. Saline has been documented to be superior and devoid of these side effects.<sup>[1,2]</sup> However, saline, being virtually incompressible with respect to air, is significantly more difficult to use for localization of the epidural space.

## References

1. Beilin Y, Arnold I, Telfeyan C, Bernstein HH, Hossain S. Quality of analgesia when air versus saline is used for identification of the epidural space in the parturient. *Region Anesth Pain M* 2000;25:596-9.
2. Shenouda PE, Cunningham BJ. Assessing the superiority of saline versus air for use in the epidural loss of resistance technique: A literature review. *Region Anesth Pain M* 2003;28:48-53.
3. Ritter AB, Reisman S, Michniak BB. *Biomedical Engineering Principles*. Florida CRC: Press Boca Raton; 2005.
4. Atlas G. The role of bulk modulus in epidural placement. *J Anaesth*

# Letters to the Editor

Clin Pharmacol 2010;26:72-3.

5. Krogh A. The rate of diffusion of gases through animal tissues, with some remarks on the coefficient of invasion. J Physiol 1919;52:391-408.
6. Kawashiro T, Nüsse W, Scheid P. Determination of diffusivity of oxygen and carbon dioxide in respiring tissue: Results in rat skeletal muscle. Pflugers Arch 1975;359:231-51.
7. Kawashiro T, Scheid P. Measurement of Krogh's diffusion constant of CO<sub>2</sub> in respiring muscle at various CO<sub>2</sub> levels: Evidence for facilitated diffusion. Pflugers Arch 1976; 362:127-33.
8. Siesjö BK. The regulation of cerebrospinal fluid pH. Kidney Int 1972;1:360-74.

| Access this article online  |  |
|---|--|
| Quick Response Code:  | Website:<br><a href="http://www.joacp.org">www.joacp.org</a> |
|  | DOI:<br>10.4103/0970-9185.92475                              |