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## Abstract

Preexisting data, comparing dogs anesthetized with halothane and $10 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, to halothane and $70 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, have been re-analyzed using a single-compartment pharmacokinetic model. Statistically significant differences have been found between the pharmacokinetic models as well as the area under the concentration of halothane versus time curves.

## Introduction

Potent inhaled anesthetics are frequently administered concomitantly with various concentrations of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. It has been observed that the concentration of these agents will rise, at a greater rate, when administered with higher concentrations of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. This "second gas effect" (SGE) has been demonstrated in several studies ${ }^{1,2,3}$. It has been refuted in one. ${ }^{4}$

## Methods

Using data from a prior-published animal study ${ }^{1}$, end-tidal concentrations of halothane, as a function of time, were fit to an exponential equation: $H(t)=h-\left[f \mathrm{e}^{(-g t)}\right]$. This process was repeated for halothane concentrations which were reported in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as well as $70 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Numerical integration was then used to evaluate the area under the curve (AUC) for each $H(t)$.

## Results

| $10 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2} 0+\mathrm{HAL}$ | $\boldsymbol{f}^{*}, g, h$ | $\mathbf{A U C}^{\#}$ | $70 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2} 0+\mathrm{HAL}$ | $\boldsymbol{f}^{*}, g, h$ | $\mathbf{A U C}^{\#}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\operatorname{dog} 1$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 9 9}, 0.261,0.600$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 2 8}$ | $\operatorname{dog} 1$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6 4}, 0.301,0.613$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 9 7}$ |
| $\operatorname{dog} 2$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 9 7}, 0.398,0.541$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 6 7}$ | $\operatorname{dog} 2$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 9 8}, 0.332,0.604$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 4 1}$ |
| $\operatorname{dog} 3$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 1 2 , 0 . 3 0 3 , 0 . 4 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 8 1}$ | $\operatorname{dog} 3$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4 5}, 0.318,0.457$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 9 1}$ |
| $\operatorname{dog} 4$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6 6}, 0.405,0.475$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 4 9}$ | $\operatorname{dog} 4$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 0 6}, 0.343,0.528$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 9 5}$ |
| $\operatorname{dog} 5$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 6 7}, 0.226,0.574$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 2 5}$ | $\operatorname{dog} 5$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 4 1}, 0.531,0.473$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 5 8}$ |

Table. A comparison using prior-published data, of dogs anesthetized with halothane and $10 \%$ $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathbf{0}$, to halothane and $70 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathbf{0}$. Coefficient $f$ and the area under the curve (AUC) were both found to be significantly different using a paired analysis: * $(P=0.026),{ }^{\#}(P=0.001)$.

## Discussion and Conclusion

The law of mass action may explain the significantly different pharmacokinetics associated with the SGE. $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and halothane both compete to combine with reactive and non-reactive substances. Thus, a greater $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ concentration will be associated with a greater concentration of halothane. This leads to an overall increase in the bioavailability of halothane. Furthermore, this effect has now been associated with a greater area under the halothane vs. time curve (AUC). In addition, a significantly different pharmacokinetic model has also been established for halothane in the presence of $70 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as compared to $10 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
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Summary: This implies that halothane, in the presence of $70 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, is associated with different pharmacokinetics, as well as a different AUC, than halothane in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

